

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARLON L. ROBERSON,
Petitioner,
v.
S. PEERY,
Respondent.

No. 2:22-cv-00519-DAD-DB (HC)

ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING
CASE

MARLON ROBERSON,
Petitioner,
v.
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Respondents.

No. 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-JDP (HC)

New Case No. 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-DB (HC)


An examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Accordingly, assignment of the above-captioned actions to the same district judge and magistrate judge will promote substantial efficiency and economy for the court and is likely to be convenient for the parties.

/////

1 An order relating cases under this court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same
2 district judge and magistrate judge—it does not consolidate the cases. The local rules of this
3 district authorize the judge with the lowest numbered case to order the reassignment of any higher
4 numbered cases to himself or herself, upon determining that this assignment is likely to effect a
5 savings of judicial effort. L.R. 123(c). Such good cause appearing here, the court orders that
6 Case No. 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-JDP (HC) is reassigned to Magistrate Judge Deborah Barnes. The
7 caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:22-cv-01557-DAD-DB
8 (HC). It is further ordered that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the
9 assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: November 2, 2023

12 
13 DALE A. DROZD
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28